

## Al Claim Categorization as a Global Good

Simona Dobre, Dragos Dobre, Siddharth Srivastava

Gumzo ya Mwezi Montly Meeting

04 April 2022



#### Content

- Claim Adjudication
- AI-based Claim Adjudication
- Framing questions



# **Claims Adjudication**



#### **Manual Claims Adjudication**





#### **Problem: Number of Claims**



Number of Claims per Day in Nepal

- 5 953 640 Claims:
  - 12 371 992 Medical Items
  - 16 655 364 Medical Services
- 3 790 789 Insurees
- 780 Health Facilities

![](_page_4_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Bottelneck: Human Adjudicators**

- Estimation (openIMIS Nepal):
  - 1 officer = 100 claims per day max
- Currently employed:
  - 16 officers = 1,600 claims per day
- Needed:
  - 300 officer = 30,000 claims per day

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Adding Al

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Rule Based Automation**

#### <u>Aim</u>:

Reduce workload

#### <u>Method</u>:

- Automatically reject formally incorrect claims
- No manual verification of rejected claims

Formally this is already Artificial Intelligence (but not Machine Learning and not the hype thing)

![](_page_7_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Al Supported Automation**

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Challenges on data analysis

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Only 3.78% of labeled data is rejected, while only 2.29% has an associated rejection reason
  - Rejection justifications are free non standardized text fields 
    in order to process this information in order to extract rejection reasons and standardize the Justification/Adjustment field
  - dealing with highly imbalanced dataset
- Most of the features are categorical
  - ⇒ only **specific AI models** are capable to consider this

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

rejection reason

### Challenges on data analysis

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Most of the features are categorical
  - Numerical: QuantityProvided, PriceAsked, ItemPrice
  - Categorical:
    - Date: DateFrom, DateTo, DateClaimed, DOB
    - Related to categories: ItemFrequency, ItemPatCat, ItemLevel, VisitType, HFLevel, HFCareType, Gender, ItemServiceType, PovertyStatus
    - ID related: ItemID, ClaimID, ClaimAdminID, HFID, LocationID, HFLocationID, InsureeID, FamilyID, ICDID, ICDID1

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

rejection reason

### Challenges on data analysis

Labeled clean dataset: Rejection reasons 0.0% 5.8% 000% 10.0% 18.2% 20.9% 1: Missing or unclear document(s) (18.18%) 2:According to document (2.71%) 3: Missing values (0.17%) 4:Need to be claimed individually (0.48%) 5: Free item (0.04%) 6: Time related issues (5.78%) 7: Dosage related issues (0.04%) 8: Large guantities (0.39%) 9: Multiple submission (10%) 10: Inconsistency btw ICDID and items (1.08%) 11: Item included in package (20.88%) 12: Other reasons (0.88%) 20: No given reason (39.34%) Only 3.78% of labeled data is rejected, while only 2.29% has an associated

- Most of the features are categorical
  - Numerical: QuantityProvided, PriceAsked, ItemPrice Duration, DurationClaimed, Age
  - Categorical:
    - Date: DateFrom, DateTo, DateClaimed, DOB
    - Related to categories: ItemFrequency, ItemPatCat, ItemLevel, VisitType, HFLevel, HFCareType, Gender, ItemServiceType, Poverty
    - ID related: ItemID, ClaimID, ClaimAdminID, HFID, LocationID, HFLocationID, InsureeID, FamilyID, ICDID, ICDID1
      - ⇒ replace ID related fields with aggregated fields

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### From research to production

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### From research to production

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### openIMIS Excluding conditions

Condition	Description
1. df['ClaimStatus'] == CS_Entered	The items that are submitted, but not yet in checked by the Rule Engile are excluded
<ol><li>df['RejectionReason']&gt;RR_Accepted</li></ol>	Items rejected by the Rule Engine are excluded
3.(df['RejectionReason']==RR_RbyMO)&(df['PriceValuate d']>0)	Incoherence between status and valuated price
<pre>4. ((df['ClaimItemStatus']==CIS_Rejected)&amp;\   (df['RejectionReason']==RR_Accepted)) \   ((df['ClaimStatus']==CS_Rejected)&amp;(df['RejectionReason' ]==RR_Accepted)) ((df['ClaimItemStatus']==CIS_Accepte d)&amp;(df['RejectionReason']==RR_RbyMO))</pre>	Incoherence in the status fields are excluded
5. df['ClaimAdminId'].isnull()) (df['VisitType'].isnull())	Missing values in the ClaimAdminId, VisiType fields
6. (df ['DateFrom'] <datetime.datetime(2016, 15)) \<br="" 5,="">(df ['DOB']&gt;df_items['DateFrom']) \ (df ['DateClaimed']<datetime.datetime(2016, 15)) \<br="" 5,="">(df ['DateClaimed']<df['datefrom'])< td=""><td>Incoherence in the date related fields</td></df['datefrom'])<></datetime.datetime(2016,></datetime.datetime(2016,>	Incoherence in the date related fields
7. df['HFID']!=df['HFId']	Check if ClaimAdminID has the same HFID as the ClaimHFID

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

Field name	Description			
LastSameItem	Number of days since last submitted (and accepted) item (same ItemID)			
SameItemPerDay/Claim	Count of items having the same ItemID, submitted same day/claim			
ItemPerClaim AmountPerClaim/Day	Count of items having the same ItemID, submitted within the claim Amount related to the claim/day (ItemPrice or PriceAsked?)			
ItemsPerWeek/Month/ Quarter/Year	Count of items for same Insuree over a period of 7 days (1 week), 30 days (1 month) or 90 days prior to the current submission			
AmountPerWeek/Month/ Quarter/Year	Total ItemPrice/PriceAsked for the items submitted over a period of 7, 30 or 90 days prior to the current submission			
AverageClaimOverMonth	Average amount of a claim over a 30 days period until the current submission AmountPerMonth/ClaimsPerMonth			
AverageOverQuarter	Average amount / week related to claims submitted over past 90 days AmountPerQuarter/12			
AverageDailyOverMonth	Average amount/day related to claims submitted over past 30 days AmountPerMonth/30			
IsPackage	Check is a package was submitted within the associated claim			
Infinite possibilities of aggregation with respect to other Features and Time periods.				

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

rejection reason

#### Challenges on data analysis

0.0% 5.8% 000% 10.0% 18.2% 20.9% 1: Missing or unclear document(s) (18.18%) 2:According to document (2.71%) 3: Missing values (0.17%) 4:Need to be claimed individually (0.48%) 5: Free item (0.04%) 6: Time related issues (5.78%) 7: Dosage related issues (0.04%) 8: Large quantities (0.39%) 9: Multiple submission (10%) 10: Inconsistency btw ICDID and items (1.08%) 11: Item included in package (20.88%) 12: Other reasons (0.88%) 20: No given reason (39.34%) Only 3.78% of labeled data is rejected, while only 2.29% has an associated

Labeled clean dataset: Rejection reasons

- Most of the features are categorical
  - Numerical: QuantityProvided, PriceAsked, ItemPrice
     Duration, DurationClaimed, Age, LastSameItem, SameItemPerClaim, SameItemPerDay, ItemsPerDay/Week/Month/Quarter/Year, AmountPerDay/Week/Month/Quarter/Year
  - Categorical:
    - Date: DateFrom, DateTo, DateClaimed, DOB
    - Related to categories: ItemFrequency, ItemPatCat, ItemLevel, VisitType, HFLevel, HFCareType, Gender, ItemServiceType, Poverty
    - ID related: ItemID, ClaimID, ClaimAdminID, HFID, LocationID, HFLocationID, InsureeID, FamilyID, ICDID, ICDID1

or UUID/Code related: ItemUUID, ClaimUUID, ClaimAdminUUID, HFUUID, LocationID, HFLocationID, InsureeUUID, FamilyUUID, ICDCode, ICD1Code

### OpenIMIS Aggregation – in practice

- In order to create the aggregated features, access to historical dataset is necessary
- For new submitted claims, in order to create the aggregated features for these claims, we need to retrieve the historical claims related to the InsureeIDs

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### openimis Al model performances

Dataset	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1-Score
Training set	0.9955	0.9600	0.8612	0.9079
Test set	0.9865	0.8027	0.6254	0.7031
Production: 1 weeks	0.9854	0.6424	0.4763	0.5471
Production: 1 month	0.9839	0.6340	0.4358	0.5166
Production: 2 months	0.9832	0.6156	0.4143	0.4953
Production: 3 months	0.9831	0.6028	0.4027	0.4828
Production: >1year	0.9853	0.3876	0.3167	0.3486

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

 We can check the fairness of the AI model with respect to several feature values: Gender, Poverty, Age, Location, Race, Education, Religion, ...

### **Top view of developments/tests**

22

Classification based algorithms: Decision Trees, Random Forests, Isolation Forest, Bayesian Networks, ...

Nearest-Neighbor based algorithms: k-NN, Local Outlier Factor (LOF), Connectivity-based Outlier Factor (COF), ...

Clustering based algorithms: K-means, Cluster based Local Outlier Factor (CBLOF), Local Density Cluster based Outlier Factor (LDCOF), ...

Statistics based techniques: Parametric techniques, Non-parametric techniques, ...

Neural Networks related techniques: Artificial Neural Networks, Autoencoders, Long Short Term Memory (LSTM), ...

### **Top view of developments/tests**

Classification based algorithms: Decision Trees, Random Forests, Isolation Forest, Bayesian Networks, ...

Nearest-Neighbor based algorithms: k-NN, Local Outlier Factor (LOF), Connectivity-based Outlier Factor (COF), ...

Clustering based algorithms: K-means, Cluster based Local Outlier Factor (CBLOF), Local Density Cluster based Outlier Factor (LDCOF), ...

Statistics based techniques: Parametric techniques, Non-parametric techniques, ...

Neural Networks related techniques: Artificial Neural Networks, Autoencoders, Long Short Term Memory (LSTM), ...

ML algorithm dependencies and variations:

- Dataset variations:
  - Binary class
  - Multiclass
  - Imbalanced case
  - Balanced case: Undersampling/Oversampling techniques (only on the training set)
  - Feature aggregation
- **Splitting of the dataset** in several sets: train/dev/test set, train/test set, ... (depending on the ML algorithm, validation method)
- Hyperparameters of the ML algorithm to be tuned
- Evaluation metrics: precision, recall, f1 score, accuracy, ...
- Validation step: holdout method, cross-validation, ...

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Creation of a synthetic dataset that can be used for a DemoServer
- Create a video presenting the model?
- How to increase acceptance of the openIMIS AI module?
- How to improve the AI model?

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Creation of a synthetic dataset that can be used for a DemoServer
- Create a video presenting the model?
- How to improve acceptance of the openIMIS AI module?
- How to improve the AI model?
  - Still needs to be improved?

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Thank you

#### **Contacts SwissTPH:**

- Dragos Dobre (<u>dragos.dobre@swisstph.ch</u>)
- Simona Dobre (<u>simona@dobre.fr</u>)
- Siddharth Srivastava (siddharth.srivastava@swisstph.ch)

More information on openIMIS Website: www.openIMIS.org Wiki: wiki.openIMIS.org Source code: github.com/openimis Documentation: docs.openIMIS.org Demo: demo.openIMIS.org

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

bH digital square Swiss TPH Swiss TPH

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)